APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,459	01/20/2006	Daniel Irisarri Navalpotro	HERRA6-73046	9805

24201   7590   09/15/2009

FULWIDER PATTON LLP
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
6060 CENTER DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

EXAMINER
BROWN, COURTNEY A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-90A (Rev. 04/07)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/565,459	IRISARRI NAVALPOTRO, DANIEL
	Examiner	**Art Unit**	
	COURTNEY BROWN	1616	

All Participants:

(1) *COURTNEY BROWN*.

(2) *Craig Bailey*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *11 September 2009*   **Time:** *4:00 pm*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant   ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes   ☒ No
    If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
n/a

Prior art documents discussed:
n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Craig Bailey confirmed that a response was not filed to the Office Action mailed on March 10, 2009.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/C. B./
Examiner, Art Unit 1616

                              (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)